

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/774,985 | 02/09/2004 | Robert A. Rabiner | 18554-035001 | 9583 |
| 26161 FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SHAHRESTANI, NASIR | |
| MINNEAPOL | IS, MN 55440-1022 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3737 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/15/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application/Control No. | l |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief | 10/774,985 | l |
| Review | NASIR SHAHRESTANI | |
| | TWOIT OF BUILDING | 1 |

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/774,985 | RABINER ET AL. | |
| - | Art Unit | |
| NASIR SHAHRESTANI | 3737 | |
| | | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appe | al Brief Request for Review filed 06/17/ | 2008. |
|--|---|---|
| Improper Request – The reason(s): | Request is improper and a conference | will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The request does not in | as not been filed concurrent with the Pro- nclude reasons why a review is appropri at is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief r | ate. |
| The time period for filing a resp the mail date of the last Office of | onse continues to run from the receipt o communication, if no Notice of Appeal h | date of the Notice of Appeal or from as been received. |
| held. The application remains user is required to submit an appeal brief will be reset to be one morunning from the receipt of the | tent Appeals and Interferences – A Pinder appeal because there is at least obrief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. this from mailing this decision, or the bainotice of appeal, whichever is greater. F. 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail daicable. | ne actual issue for appeal. Applican The time period for filing an appeal lance of the two-month time period Further, the time period for filing of the |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | ined the status of the claim(s) is as follows: 12. 14-15, 17-27, 29-30, 32, 64-70, 72-7 consideration: | |
| Allowable application – Allowance will be mailed. Prose applicant at this time. | A conference has been held. The reject acution on the merits remains closed. N | tion is withdrawn and a Notice of lo further action is required by |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A action will be mailed. No further | A conference has been held. The rejection action is required by applicant at this to | on is withdrawn and a new Office time. |
| All participants: | | |
| (1) NASIR SHAHRESTANI. | (3) <u>TOM HUG</u> F | HES. |
| (2) <u>BRIAN CASLER (SPE)</u> . | (4) | |
| /Nasir Shahrestani/ Examiner, Art Unit 3737 | /Brian L Casler/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737 | /Tom Hughes/ TQAS, TC 3700 |